

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/789,981	03/02/2004	Ronald A. Weimer	100718.417US4	9654	
24395 7	395 7590 03/11/2005			EXAMINER	
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP THE WILLARD OFFICE BUILDING			NGUYEN, CUONG QUANG		
	1455 PENNSYLVANIA AVE, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20004		2811	•	
			DATE MAILED: 03/11/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer.	10/789,981	WEIMER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Cuong Q. Nguyen	2811				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period of t	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.	·				
, —						
Disposition of Claims						
Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 6-8 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-5 and 9-17 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
	0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
·	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	(PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>03-02-04</u>. 	Parties and the same of the sa	ratent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Double Patenting

2. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

Claims 1-5 and 9-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-11 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,713,807. This is a double patenting rejection.

3. A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Art Unit: 2811

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 15-17 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-11 of U.S. Patent No. 6,713,807. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because a photoresist masking layer is commonly formed on insulating layer over substrate in order to form pattern conductive layer or contact hole in semiconductor device.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,981 Page 4

Art Unit: 2811

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 6-8 are allowed.

6. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: prior art do

not teach or render obvious a capacitor assembly has an arrangement as claimed in

claim 6..

Conclusion

7. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology center (TC)

2800 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to TC 2800 via the TC 2800

Fax center located in Crystal Plaza 4, room 4-C23. The faxing of such papers must

conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15,

1989). The Group 2811 Fax Center number is (703) 872-9306. The Group 2811 Fax

Center is to be used only for papers related to Group 2811 applications.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or any earlier communication from

the Examiner should be directed to CUONG Q NGUYEN whose telephone number is

(571) 272-1661. The Examiner is in the Office generally between the hours of 6:30 AM

to 5:00 PM (Eastern Standard Time) Monday through Thursday.

9. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor Eddie Lee who can be reached on (571) 272-1732.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,981

Art Unit: 2811

10. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center Receptionists whose telephone number is 308-0956.

Page 5

Cuong Nguy**¢**n

Primary examiner

3/3/05